

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/666,263	09/17/2003	Augustine Kwasi Foli	3994994-144416	7765
23570	7590 05/24/2005		EXAM	INER
	VRIGHT MORRIS & AR	WALBERG, TERESA J		
	ΓUAL PROPERTY GROUP HIGH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
28TH FLOOR			3753	
COLUMBU	S, OH 43215		DATE MAILED, 05/04/000	-

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\sim$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/666,263	FOLI, AUGUSTINE KWASI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Teresa J. Walberg	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material representation. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS but the, cause the application to become ABAND	the timely filed  I days will be considered timely.  I drow the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T  3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09/17/03 is/are: a)☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	220/ (PTO 413)			
2) Notice of References Ched (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma				

Application/Control Number: 10/666,263

Art Unit: 3753

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The present abstract should be amended to be shorter than 150 words.

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-8 and 10-13 are directed to a mathematical algorithm and thus are not statutory subject matter, since the process as claimed consists solely of mathematical operations without a claimed practical operation.

If the "acts" of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 294-95, 30 USPQ2d at 1458-59. Thus, a process consisting solely of mathematical operations, i.e., converting one set of numbers into another set of numbers, does not manipulate appropriate subject matter and thus cannot constitute a statutory process.

In practical terms, claims define nonstatutory processes if they:

— consist solely of mathematical operations without some claimed practical application

Art Unit: 3753

(i.e., executing a "mathematical algorithm"); or — simply manipulate abstract ideas, e.g., a bid (Schrader, 22 F.3d at 293-94, 30 USPQ2d at 1458-59) or a bubble hierarchy (Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759), without some claimed practical application.

Certain mathematical algorithms have been held to be nonstatutory because they represent a mathematical definition of a law of nature or a natural phenomenon. For example, a mathematical algorithm representing the formula E = mc2 is a "law of nature" — it defines a "fundamental scientific truth" (i.e., the relationship between energy and mass). To comprehend how the law of nature relates to any object, one invariably has to perform certain steps (e.g., multiplying a number representing the mass of an object by the square of a number representing the speed of light). In such a case, a claimed process which consists solely of the steps that one must follow to solve the mathematical representation of E = mc2 is indistinguishable from the law of nature and would "preempt" the law of nature. A patent cannot be granted on such a process. M.P.E.P 20106.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kelly et al (6,415,860).

Kelly et al disclose a microchannel heat exchanger having a channel height of "from a few hundred micrometers to about 2000 micrometers" and a channel width of "from about 50 micrometers to a few hundred micrometers". These

Application/Control Number: 10/666,263 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

measurement would appear to meet the claimed structure resulting from the product by process in claims 9 and 14. Alternately, if the product resulting from the process steps differs from that disclosed in Kelly, such differences in proportions would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a matter of optimization of the design based on intended use.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Swift et al, Phillips et al, Dudley et al, Bae, Mathias et al, Meyer et al, Arana et al, Coleman, and Fitzgerald et al are cited to show microchannel heat exchangers.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa J. Walberg whose telephone number is 571-272-4790. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teresa J. Walkerg
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753

tjw